



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,109	12/30/2005	Benjamin C H Smeets	082671-0226	5134
22428	7590	02/06/2009	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			ARBES, CARL J	
SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3000 K STREET NW				
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3729	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/06/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/534,109	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SMEETS ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> C. J. Arbes	<b>Art Unit</b> 3729

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Jessica M. Cahill, Reg. 56,986.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) C. J. Arbes.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2009**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:***Office Action mailed on or about 31 October 2008***Claims discussed:**

11-17

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/C. J. Arbes/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3729

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
Applicants' attorney indicated how the recitation ... while the 1st element moves in the predetermined direction, at a desired position moving the 2nd element relative to the 1st element in a direction opposite to the predetermined direction to cause the 2nd element to be stationary relative to the desired position... (in amended claim 1) can occur with what is disclosed in the originally filed Specification. Applicants' attorney opined that the specification adequately disclosed the invention and that the amended claims are clear and definite. The Examiner reserved judgement until further search and/or consideration